organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Marián Ďurík,^a* Vratislav Langer,^b Dalma Gyepesová,^a Júlia Mičová,^c Bohumil Steiner^c and Miroslav Koóš^c

^aInstitute of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, SK-842 38 Bratislava, Slovak Republic, **b**Department of Inorganic Environmental Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden, and ^cInstitute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, SK-842 36 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Correspondence e-mail: uachdur@savba.sk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study $T = 297 K$ Mean σ (C-C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.091 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1,2:4,5-Di-O-isopropylidene-ß-D-erythro-hexo-2,3diulo-2,6-pyranose

The crystal structure of title compound, $C_{12}H_{18}O_6$, has been determined using single-crystal data. There are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. NMR coupling constants, dihedral angles as well as puckering parameters indicate the 3S_0 conformation of the pyranose ring in both molecules A and B. The cis-fused 1,3-dioxolane ring in both molecules A and B , adopts an E_4 conformation. The second five-membered 1,3-dioxolane ring, in molecule A, has an E_2 conformation, whereas in molecule B, this 1,3-dioxolane ring adopts an E_4 conformation. Molecules A form a zigzag infinite chain along the b axis with molecules B as side chains. This explains why the displacement ellipsoids of atoms of molecule B are substantially larger than those of molecule A.

Comment

As a continuation of our research on the synthesis and structure determination of selected sugar amino derivatives (Koóš, Steiner, Langer et al., 2000; Koóš, Steiner, Gajdoš et al., 2000; Steiner *et al.*, 1998), we have focused attention on 1.2:4.5 $di-O$ -isopropylidene- β -D-erythro-hexo-2,3-diulo-2,6-pyranose, (I), as a potential starting material. This compound was prepared in two steps from p-fructose according to the procedure of Wang et al. (1997). The atom-numbering schemes of both molecules are presented in Fig. 1. The conformation of the pyranose ring of (I) is mentioned only twice in the literature. One proposal, based on a molecular modeling study, favored the ${}^{0}S_3$ conformation (McDonald, 1967), whereas a second possibility (more probable), based on the inspection of dihedral angles (from a molecular model) and coupling constants (from NMR), was the ${}^{3}S_{0}$ conformation (Hervé du Penhoat & Perlin, 1979).

Correlation of dihedral angles associated with coupling constants $J_{5,6} = 2.2$ Hz and $J_{5,6'} = 0.9$ Hz with corresponding dihedral angles (H5A $-C5A-C6A-H6A1$ and H5B $-C5B$ $C6B - H6B1$ about -70° , and $H5A - C5A - C6A - H6A2$ and $H5B - C5B - C6B - H6B2$ about 46°) obtained from X-ray

 \odot 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Received 8 June 2001 Accepted 27 June 2001 Online 6 July 2001

Figure 1

The atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level for molecules A and B of (I).

crystallography as well as puckering parameters $[Q]$ = 0.476 (2) Å, $\varphi = 193.5$ (8)°, $\theta = 162.0$ (2)° for molecule A, and $Q = 0.511$ (2) \AA , $\varphi = 218.2$ (8)°, $\theta = 162.8$ (2)° for molecule B] clearly indicate the 3S_0 conformation of the pyranose ring $(O6-C2-C3-C4-C5-C6)$ in both molecules A and B (Fig. 1). The cis-fused 1,3-dioxolane ring $(C4-O4-C10-O5-C5$ in both molecules A and B) adopts the E_4 conformation, where the C4, O4, C10 and O5 atoms lie almost in a plane [for molecule A, dihedral angle $C4-O4-C10-O5 = -3.2$ (2)°, and puckering parameters $Q = 0.347$ (2) Å and $\varphi = 247.7$ (4)°; for molecule B, dihedral angle $C4-O4-C10-O5$ = \sim 2.9 (2)°, and puckering parameters Q = 0.367 (2) Å and φ = $248.4 \cdot (3)^{\circ}$ and C5 is directed below this plane. The second five-membered 1,3-dioxolane ring $(O1-C1-C2-O2-C7$ in the molecule A) has an E_2 conformation, where the O1, C1, C2, and O2 atoms lie almost in a plane [dihedral angle $O1$ – C1–C2–O2 is 3.4 (2)°, and puckering parameters $Q =$ 0.291 (2) Å and $\varphi = 330.1$ (4)^o] and C7 faces below this plane. However, in molecule B, this 1,3-dioxolane ring adopts the E_4 conformation, where the O2, C7, O1, and C1 atoms lie in a plane [dihedral angle $O2 - C7 - O1 - C1$ is 0.8 (3)°, and puckering parameters $Q = 0.171$ (2) Å and $\varphi = 255.7$ (9)^o] with C2 directed below this plane. It is also noteworthy, that both molecules in the asymmetric unit have the same absolute configuration: S on C2, and R on C4 and C5.

There are also $C6A - H6A1 \cdots O2B$ and $C8A H8A2\cdots O1A$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2) which stabilize the

Figure 2

Hydrogen-bond scheme projected along the c axis. Molecules A form a zigzag infinite chain along the b axis with molecules B as side chains.

crystal structure of the title compound. Molecules A and B are connected through hydrogen bonds in such a way that molecules A form an infinite zigzag chain along the b axis with side chains consisting of molecules B (Fig. 2). This could be also an explanation of the slightly larger thermal motion of atoms of molecule B in comparison with those of molecule A .

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared in two steps from D-fructose according to the procedure of Wang et al. (1997).

 $D_x = 1.322$ Mg m⁻³

Cell parameters from 6790

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 μ = 0.11 mm^{-1}

 $T = 297(2)$ K

Needle, colorless

 $0.55 \times 0.16 \times 0.15$ mm

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2 (F_o^2) + (0.0502P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Extinction correction: SHELXL97

Extinction coefficient: 0.0159 (17)

Absolute structure: (Flack, 1983)

Flack parameter = -0.2 (8), 2221

 $+0.0759P$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.009$

Friedel pairs

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.12$ e ${\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\theta=1.8\text{--}25.5^\circ$

Crystal data

 $C_{12}H_{18}O_6$ $M_r = 258.27$ Monoclinic, P21 $a = 10.9909(2)$ Å $b = 10.5488(2)$ Å $c = 11.3876$ (2) Å $\beta = 100.526(1)$ ° $V = 1298.07$ (4) \AA^3 $Z = 4$

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffract-3804 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ometer $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$ ω scans, 0.30° frames, 30 s each $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: multi-scan $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$ (Blessing, 1995) $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $T_{\text{min}} = 0.944, T_{\text{max}} = 0.984$ $1 - 13 \rightarrow 13$ 13 132 measured reflections Intensity decay: none 4781 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.091$ $S = 1.02$ 4781 reflections 370 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Selected torsion angles $(°)$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (\mathring{A}, \degree) .

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - 1$, y, z; (ii) $1 - x$, $\frac{1}{7} + y$, $2 - z$.

Data were collected using a Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer at room temperature. A full sphere of reciprocal space was scanned by 0.3° steps in ω with a crystal-to-detector distance of 3.97 cm and 30 s per frame. A preliminary orientation matrix was obtained from the first 100 frames using *SMART* (Siemens, 1995). The collected frames were integrated using the preliminary orientation matrix which was updated every 100 frames. Final cell parameters were obtained by refinement on the position of 6826 reflections with $I >$ $10\sigma(I)$ after integration of all the frames data using SAINT (Siemens, 1995). The data were empirically corrected for absorption and other effects using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996) based on the method of Blessing (1995). H atoms were constrained to the ideal geometry using an appropriate riding model. For methyl groups, the $C-H$ distances (0.93 Å) and C-C-H angles (109.5°) were kept fixed, while the torsion angles were allowed to refine with the starting position based on the threefold averaged circular Fourier synthesis.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT and XPREP (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: XFPA98 (Pavelčík, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2001).

References

- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Brandenburg, K. (2000). DIAMOND. Version 2.1d. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hervé du Penhoat, P. C. M. & Perlin, A. S. (1979). Carbohydr. Res. 71, 135-148

Koóš, M., Steiner, B., Langer, V., Gyepesová, D., Ďurík, M. (2000). Carbohydr. Res. 328 115-126

- Koóš, M., Steiner, B., Gaidoš, J., Langer, V., Gyepesová, D., Smrčok, Ľ., Ďurík, M. (2000). Molecules, 5, 219-226.
- McDonald, E. J. (1967). Carbohydr. Res. 5, 106-108.

Pavelčík, F. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 839-840.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (2001). PLATON. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.

- Steiner, B., Koóš, M., Langer, V., Gyepesová, D. & Smrčok, Ľ. (1998). Carbohydr. Res. $311.1-9.$
- Wang, Z. X., Tu, Y., Zhang, J. R. & Shi, Y. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 11224-11235.